

## SUpport to SAfety ANalysis of Hydrogen and Fuel Cell Technologies

Verification type	Manufactured Solutions
Database reference	MAN-1
Topic / Application	Manufactured Solution
	Numerical Solutions
	Error Norms
	Grid Convergence Study
Physics	Steady Laminar Incompressible
Summary	The Method of Manufactured Solutions is applied to the quantitative verification of a code. A highly accurate numerical solution is also used for verification.
Description	The paper concerns the verification of a code, primarily via MMS, but also via comparison with an accurate numerical solution. The Code undergoing verification is CFDLIB, while the reference numerical solution is generated by Fluent. Both codes are formally second order.
	Formal quantitative error norms are used to quantitatively determine the error as a function of a grid refinement study.
Case Title	A Method of Code Comparison for CFD Verification
Authors	Thomas Reid, Jean-Yves Trepanier and Martin S. Aube
Year	2009
Online reference	47th AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting Including The New Horizons Forum and Aerospace Exposition 5 - 8 January 2009, Orlando, Florida
Case image	Example of manufactured velocity (left) and temperature contours (right) in the verification test case deployed

The SUSANA project is co-funded by the European Commission within the 7<sup>th</sup> Framework Program



SUpport to SAfety ANalysis of Hydrogen and Fuel Cell Technologies

Governing equations	$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0$
	$u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{\rho}\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} = \nu\left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}\right)$
	$u\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{\rho}\frac{\partial p}{\partial y} = \nu\left(\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2}\right)$
	$u\frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = \alpha \left(\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2}\right)$
Results	The authors conclude that the uncertainty in the reference code (Fluent in this case) need to be clearly understood to retain the value of verification via this means. Code comparisons based on qualitative metrics cannot be considered as an appropriate verification method and, thus, may lead to over confidence.